



On the second-order convergence of a function reconstructed from finite volume approximations of the Laplace equation on Delaunay-Voronoi meshes

Pascal Omnes

► To cite this version:

Pascal Omnes. On the second-order convergence of a function reconstructed from finite volume approximations of the Laplace equation on Delaunay-Voronoi meshes. ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis, 2011, 45 (4), pp.627–650. 10.1051/m2an/2010068 . cea-00430941v2

HAL Id: cea-00430941

<https://hal-cea.archives-ouvertes.fr/cea-00430941v2>

Submitted on 13 Apr 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON THE SECOND-ORDER CONVERGENCE OF A FUNCTION RECONSTRUCTED FROM FINITE VOLUME APPROXIMATIONS OF THE LAPLACE EQUATION ON DELAUNAY-VORONOI MESHES

PASCAL OMNES^{1, 2}

Abstract. Cell-centered and vertex-centered finite volume schemes for the Laplace equation with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions are considered on a triangular mesh and on the Voronoi diagram associated to its vertices. A broken P^1 function is constructed from the solutions of both schemes. When the domain is two-dimensional polygonal convex, it is shown that this reconstruction converges with second-order accuracy towards the exact solution in the L^2 norm, under the sufficient condition that the right-hand side of the Laplace equation belongs to $H^1(\Omega)$.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 65N15, 65N30, 35J05.

INTRODUCTION

Finite volume schemes are popular methods to obtain approximations of the solutions of various types of partial differential equations. In the present work, we consider approximations by such schemes of the Laplace equation $-\Delta u = f$ in a two-dimensional convex polygonal bounded domain Ω , associated with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. Starting from a given mesh covering Ω , we may distinguish three families of finite volume schemes. First, the principle of the so-called “cell-centered” schemes is to associate discrete unknowns with the cells of the mesh and to integrate the Laplace equation on each cell. Among various approaches, (which have been developed mainly for anisotropic diffusion, but which may of course be applied to the Laplace equation), we may cite [1, 2, 6, 9, 16, 25, 30–32]. The principle of the second family, the so-called “vertex-centered” schemes, is to associate discrete unknowns with the vertices of the primal mesh, and then integrate the Laplace equation on the cells of a dual mesh, centered on the vertices [4, 5, 10, 11, 24, 36]. More recently, a third family of schemes has emerged, which combines the previous two approaches, since these schemes associate unknowns with both the cells and the vertices of the mesh, and integrate the Laplace equation on both the cells of the primal and dual meshes [3, 13, 15, 18, 19, 26, 27, 34]. The originality of these schemes is that they work well on all kind of meshes, including very distorted, degenerating, or highly nonconforming meshes (see the numerical tests in [19]). Since these schemes are based on the definition of discrete gradient and divergence operators which verify a discrete Green formula, they are called “discrete duality finite volume” (DDFV) schemes.

In this work, we shall be interested in the convergence analysis in the L^2 norm of a broken piecewise P_1 function constructed from the solutions of the first two families of schemes when the primal mesh is triangular,

Keywords and phrases: finite volume method, Laplace equation, Delaunay meshes, Voronoi meshes, convergence, error estimates

¹ CEA, DEN, DM2S-SFME, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France. e-mail: pascal.omnes@cea.fr

² Université Paris 13, LAGA, CNRS UMR 7539, Institut Galilée, 99, Avenue J.-B. Clément F-93430 VILLETANEUSE Cedex, France.

and the dual mesh is the Voronoi diagram associated to the vertices of the primal mesh. This broken piecewise P_1 function is actually the solution of the associated DDFV scheme, and the analysis is thus performed with the help of tools introduced for the third family in [19].

Let us first review how the above-mentioned three families of schemes are constructed. First, both sides of the Laplace equation are integrated on the cells of the primal and/or dual mesh; for each cell, the resulting left-hand side is then transformed into the integral of $-\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}$ on the boundary of the cell, thanks to the Green formula. The evaluation of this boundary integral in terms of the discrete unknowns of the scheme is a key issue in the construction and analysis of any of these schemes.

As far as cell-centered schemes are concerned, a simple answer to this question is obtained in the case of so-called “admissible meshes” [22, Definition 9.1]. Roughly speaking, a mesh is said to be admissible if one may associate a point \mathbf{x}_K to each cell K of the mesh and a point \mathbf{x}_σ to each boundary edge σ of the mesh such that

- The point \mathbf{x}_K lies inside K .
- For all pairs of neighboring cells K and L the segment $[\mathbf{x}_K \mathbf{x}_L]$ is orthogonal to the common edge $\partial K \cap \partial L$ of K and L .
- For any boundary cell K which has an edge σ on the boundary, the segment $[\mathbf{x}_K \mathbf{x}_\sigma]$ is orthogonal to σ .

In that case, on the interface $\partial K \cap \partial L$, the value of $\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}$ is approached in terms of the unknowns u_K and u_L associated to the cells K and L , respectively, by the finite difference $\frac{u_L - u_K}{\|\mathbf{x}_K \mathbf{x}_L\|}$, if \mathbf{n} is oriented from K to L . On the boundary edge σ , with $\sigma \subset \partial K \cap \partial \Omega$, the approximation of $\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}$ is given by $\frac{-u_K}{\|\mathbf{x}_K \mathbf{x}_\sigma\|}$ in the case of homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. The case of non admissible meshes, and/or anisotropic diffusion has recently drawn much attention as reported in the above-cited papers, but is out of the scope of this work. In the case of admissible meshes, the resulting scheme has as many unknowns as equations (one per cell) and is shown to possess a unique solution which converges to the solution with first-order accuracy in a discrete energy norm (if the solution itself belongs to $H^2(\Omega)$ and with some additional constraints on the mesh, see [22, Definition 9.4]), and, as a consequence of the discrete Poincaré inequality (see [22, Lemma 9.1]), in the discrete L^2 norm as well, [22, Theorem 9.4]. Related results in the energy norm have been shown in [33, 37]. On the other hand, deriving necessary and/or sufficient conditions to obtain second-order accuracy in the discrete L^2 norm is still an open issue on general admissible meshes. This issue has been positively answered in a very special case, namely for rectangular Cartesian grids when the point \mathbf{x}_K is the center of the rectangle K and under various regularity assumptions over the solution of the Laplace equation. We refer for example to [23, 29, 38]. On Delaunay triangular meshes, when the points \mathbf{x}_K are the circumcenters of the triangles K , the answer is believed to be true by some authors (see, e.g. [7]), based on numerical evidence. However, it has been shown in [35], by means of one-dimensional counter-examples, that second-order convergence in the discrete L^2 norm may be lost if the right-hand side of the Laplace equation does not belong to $H^1(\Omega)$, or if the points \mathbf{x}_k associated to the one-dimensional segments K are not properly chosen.

As far as vertex-centered schemes are concerned, a simple way to evaluate $\nabla u \cdot \mathbf{n}$ on the boundaries of the dual cells has been proposed in what is known as the Finite Volume Element (FVE) scheme, also named “box method” and may be explained in the following way in the case of a triangular primal mesh: each segment of the boundary of any dual cell is included in a triangle, in which u is approached locally by a standard Lagrange P^1 finite element function u_h constructed with the help of the three unknowns located at the vertices of the triangle. This way to proceed leads to a linear system with as many unknowns as equations (one per vertex) and is shown to possess a unique solution u_h which converges to the solution with first-order accuracy in the standard energy norm, as reported in [5, 10, 11]. Second order convergence of u_h in the L^2 norm has been shown in the special case in which the dual cell is the barycentric dual cell constructed by connecting the barycenter of each triangle to the midpoints of its edges. Sufficient hypotheses for this result to hold are that the solution of the Laplace equation is in $H^2(\Omega)$, and the right-hand f is in $H^1(\Omega)$. The proofs of second-order accuracy in the L^2 norm explicitly use properties of the barycentric dual cell, and, thus, may not be extended to other constructions of the dual cells (see in particular [14, Assumption (1.4)], [21, page 1873], [20, Page 297]). Especially, second-order accuracy when the dual mesh is the Voronoi diagram associated to the vertices of the triangular primal mesh is an open issue.

Finally, DDFV schemes use a four-point gradient formula defined in [16] on the so-called “diamond cells”, whose diagonals are the primal and associated dual edges. Such schemes for the Laplace equation have been shown to converge in [19] on very general meshes, with first-order accuracy in the broken energy norm, as well as in the discrete $L^2(\Omega)$ norm, provided the solution of the Laplace equation belongs to $H^2(\Omega)$. Additional convergence results for anisotropic and/or non linear diffusion and/or discontinuous coefficients may be found in [3, 8], see also [34]. For such schemes, an almost second-order accuracy result in the L^2 norm was shown (see [19, Theorem 7.2]) for homothetically refined triangular grids (see the definition in section 7 of [19]) in which the points \mathbf{x}_K associated to the primal cells K are their isobarycenters, under the supplementary assumption that the right-hand side of the Laplace equation belongs to $H^1(\Omega)$. Since the main argument in the proof is that for homothetically refined triangular grids, almost all diamond-cells are parallelograms, the proof of [19, Theorem 7.2] may be adapted to the case of homothetically refined triangular grids in which the point x_K associated to a triangle K is the circumcenter of K . However, second-order accuracy in the L^2 norm on more general meshes is an open issue, in particular on families of non homothetically refined triangular meshes.

The aim of this article is to show that, when Ω is a two-dimensional convex polygonal bounded domain covered by a family of finer and finer triangular primal meshes (with some restrictions on the angles of the triangles), the DDFV function constructed from the solutions of the cell-centered and vertex-centered (on the Voronoi duals) schemes converges to the solution of the Laplace equation with second-order accuracy, under the sufficient condition that the right-hand side f belongs to $H^1(\Omega)$. Therefore, though this result does not give a complete answer to the above-mentioned open issues, it constitutes an important improvement over what was previously known. The tool we shall use to prove this, is the combination of the above-mentioned two schemes into a single DDFV scheme, which, in turn, is shown to be equivalent to a finite element-like scheme (only the right-hand side of the resulting linear system slightly differs). Then, the traditional Aubin-Nitsche lemma allows us to prove second-order convergence. The additional difficulty with respect to genuine finite element schemes is the lack of Galerkin orthogonality associated to finite volume schemes. The three main points in the proof are, first, that f is regular, second, that the diamond-cells are symmetric with respect to the dual edges, and, third, that since the point \mathbf{x}_K associated to a primal cell K is its circumcenter, it is equidistant from the vertices of K . The regularity of f is used in Lemmas 4.12, 4.15 and 4.16; the symmetry of the diamond-cells in Lemma 4.13 and the equidistance of \mathbf{x}_K from the vertices of K in Lemma 4.14.

This article is constructed as follows. In section 1, we construct the primal and dual meshes and introduce some notations. In section 2, we present the finite volume schemes on the primal and dual meshes, while in section 3, we combine them into a single finite element-like scheme. This allows us to perform the error analysis in section 4. We discuss in Section 5 possible extensions of this technique to a more general diffusion equation. Conclusions are drawn in section 6.

1. THE PRIMAL AND DUAL MESHES AND ASSOCIATED NOTATIONS

We shall consider in what follows a two-dimensional bounded convex polygonal domain Ω covered by a family of triangulations \mathcal{T} characterized by $h := \sup_{K \in \mathcal{T}} \text{diam}(K)$.

Due to some technicalities in the proofs of our results, we shall state the following hypothesis

Hypothesis 1.1. *We suppose that there exists an angle $\theta^* > 0$, not depending on h , such that any angle of any triangle K in the triangulation is lower than (or equal to) $\pi/2 - \theta^*$.*

Note that this hypothesis immediately implies that, choosing the circumcenter of K for the point \mathbf{x}_K associated to the triangle K and the midpoint of σ for the point \mathbf{x}_σ associated to the boundary edge σ , properly defines an admissible mesh as defined in the introduction.

We shall use the following notations, summarized on Fig. 1, 2 and 3: For any $K \in \mathcal{T}$, we shall denote by $m(K)$ the area of K ; we shall call \mathcal{E}_K the set of three edges of K . Then, $\mathcal{E} = \cup_{K \in \mathcal{T}} \mathcal{E}_K$ is the set of all edges in the mesh. Further, \mathcal{E}_{ext} is the set of boundary edges, while \mathcal{E}_{int} is the set of interior edges. For any edge $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}$, we shall call \mathbf{x}_σ the midpoint of σ . If $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{int}$, we shall name K and L the two triangles such that $\sigma = \partial K \cap \partial L$. We shall often write $\sigma = K|L$. The unit normal vector to $\sigma = K|L$ pointing outward K




FIGURE 1. Notations for two neighboring primal cells

will be denoted by \mathbf{n}_{KL} or, equivalently, by $\mathbf{n}_{K\sigma}$. Note that $\mathbf{n}_{KL} = -\mathbf{n}_{LK}$. If $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}$, the unit normal vector pointing outward K will be denoted by $\mathbf{n}_{K\sigma}$. The one-dimensional measure of σ will be denoted by $m(\sigma)$. We shall call \mathbf{x}_K the intersection of the orthogonal bisectors of the three edges of K and R_K the distance from \mathbf{x}_K to the vertices of K , which is the radius of the circle in which K is inscribed. For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K$, we shall denote by $\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma}$ the convex hull of $\sigma \cup \{\mathbf{x}_K\}$. The distance between \mathbf{x}_K and \mathbf{x}_σ will be denoted by $d_{K\sigma}$. If $\sigma = K|L$, we shall denote by $d_\sigma = d_{K\sigma} + d_{L\sigma}$ the norm of $(\mathbf{x}_K - \mathbf{x}_L)$. If $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}$, $d_\sigma = d_{K\sigma}$ is the norm of $(\mathbf{x}_K - \mathbf{x}_\sigma)$. We also consider the Voronoi (dual) mesh \mathcal{T}^* associated with the vertices of the primal triangulation \mathcal{T} of Ω . This mesh is obtained by joining the points \mathbf{x}_K to the points \mathbf{x}_L as soon as K and L are neighboring triangles and the points \mathbf{x}_K to the points \mathbf{x}_σ as soon as $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext} \cap \mathcal{E}_K$. The elements of \mathcal{T}^* are denoted by K^* , L^* , etc. Any $K^* \in \mathcal{T}^*$ is associated to a vertex \mathbf{x}_{K^*} of the primal mesh. We define \mathcal{T}_{int}^* as the set of dual elements which are such that $\mathbf{x}_{K^*} \notin \Gamma$ and we set $\mathcal{T}_{ext}^* = \mathcal{T}^* \setminus \mathcal{T}_{int}^*$. For any $K^* \in \mathcal{T}^*$, we shall denote by $m(K^*)$ the area of K^* ; we shall call \mathcal{E}_{K^*} the set of edges of K^* . Then, $\mathcal{E}^* = \cup_{K^* \in \mathcal{T}^*} \mathcal{E}_{K^*}$ is the set of all edges of the dual mesh. Further, \mathcal{E}_{ext}^* is the set of boundary edges of the dual mesh, while \mathcal{E}_{int}^* is the set of interior dual edges. There is an obvious one-to-one correspondence between one given primal edge $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}$ and an interior dual edge $\sigma^* \in \mathcal{E}_{int}^*$. Indeed, for any $\sigma = K|L \in \mathcal{E}_{int}$, we may associate $\sigma^* = [\mathbf{x}_K \mathbf{x}_L]$, while if $\sigma \subset \partial K \cap \Gamma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}$, we may associate $\sigma^* = [\mathbf{x}_K \mathbf{x}_\sigma]$. For any $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}$ we shall denote by $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$ the convex hull of $\sigma \cup \sigma^*$. We note that if $\sigma = K|L \in \mathcal{E}_{int}$ then $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*} = \mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma} \cup \mathcal{V}_{L,\sigma}$. And if $\sigma \subset \partial K \cap \Gamma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}$, then $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*} = \mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma}$. For any $\sigma^* \in \mathcal{E}_{int}^*$, with $\sigma^* = \partial K^* \cap \partial L^*$, we shall write $\sigma^* = K^*|L^*$. The unit normal vector directed from K^* to L^* will be denoted by $\mathbf{n}_{K^*L^*}$, and we shall denote by $\mathcal{V}_{K^*,\sigma^*}$ the convex hull of $\sigma^* \cup \{\mathbf{x}_{K^*}\}$. Then there holds $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*} = \mathcal{V}_{K^*,\sigma^*} \cup \mathcal{V}_{L^*,\sigma^*}$. We shall denote by $m(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*})$ the area of $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$ and remark that

$$m(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}) = \frac{1}{2} m(\sigma) d_\sigma. \quad (1)$$

We first prove a lemma that will be useful in the error estimations

Lemma 1.2. *Let Hyp. 1.1 hold. Then:*

- Any angle in any triangle K is greater than (or equal to) $2\theta^*$.




FIGURE 2. Notations for neighboring dual cells




FIGURE 3. Notations for a diamond-cell

• Let $\sigma = K|L$ or $\sigma \subset \partial K \cap \Gamma$ be any primal edge and let $\sigma^* = K^*|L^*$ be its associated interior dual edge. Then the smallest angle in the triangles $\mathbf{x}_\sigma \mathbf{x}_{K^*} \mathbf{x}_K$ and $\mathbf{x}_\sigma \mathbf{x}_{L^*} \mathbf{x}_L$ and in the triangles $\mathbf{x}_\sigma \mathbf{x}_{K^*} \mathbf{x}_L$ and $\mathbf{x}_\sigma \mathbf{x}_{L^*} \mathbf{x}_K$ when they exist (i.e. if σ is an interior primal edge), is bounded by below by a strictly positive angle which depends only on θ^* , and thus independently of h .




FIGURE 4. Notations for lemma 1.2.

• There exists a constant $C(\theta^*)$, not depending on h , such that for any triangle K and any of its edges σ there holds

$$\frac{m(\sigma)}{d_{K\sigma}} \leq \frac{\text{diam}(K)}{d_{K\sigma}} \leq C(\theta^*).$$

Proof. The first point of the lemma is obvious. For the remaining two points, we refer to Fig. 4 for the notations, and we prove the second point for the triangle $\mathbf{x}_\sigma \mathbf{x}_{K^*} \mathbf{x}_K$ only, since the proof is the same for the other three cases.

Let us start by considering the triangle $\mathbf{x}_K \mathbf{x}_\sigma \mathbf{x}_{\sigma'}$. Since $\mathbf{x}_\sigma \mathbf{x}_{\sigma'}$ is parallel to σ'' , there holds

$$\frac{d_{K\sigma}}{\sin(\pi/2 - \theta_1)} = \frac{\|\mathbf{x}_\sigma \mathbf{x}_{\sigma'}\|}{\sin \beta} = \frac{m(\sigma'')}{2 \sin \beta}$$

so that

$$d_{K\sigma} \geq \frac{m(\sigma'') \sin(\pi/2 - \theta_1)}{2 \sin \beta} \geq \frac{m(\sigma'') \sin(\theta^*)}{2}. \quad (2)$$

Now, consider the triangle $\mathbf{x}_\sigma \mathbf{x}_{K^*} \mathbf{x}_K$. There holds

$$\tan(\alpha) = \frac{2d_{K\sigma}}{m(\sigma)} \geq \frac{m(\sigma'')}{m(\sigma)} \sin(\theta^*) = \frac{\sin(\theta_2)}{\sin(\theta_1)} \sin(\theta^*) \geq \sin(2\theta^*) \sin(\theta^*), \quad (3)$$

thanks to (2) and since $\sin(\theta_2) \geq \sin(2\theta^*)$, as noticed in the first point of the lemma. Moreover, the other acute angle in the triangle $\mathbf{x}_\sigma \mathbf{x}_{K^*} \mathbf{x}_K$ is equal to $\pi/2 - \alpha \geq \theta^*$ since $\alpha \leq \theta_2 \leq \pi/2 - \theta^*$. This proves the second point in the lemma. As far as the third point is concerned, a bound for $\frac{m(\sigma)}{d_{K\sigma}}$ easily follows from the estimation (3) on $\tan(\alpha)$; the bound for $\frac{\text{diam}(K)}{d_{K\sigma}}$ follows from the bound for $\frac{m(\sigma)}{d_{K\sigma}}$ and the fact that $\frac{\text{diam}(K)}{m(\sigma)} \leq \frac{1}{\sin(2\theta^*)}$. \square

2. THE FINITE VOLUME SCHEMES

We shall consider two finite volume schemes which approach the solution $\hat{u} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ of the Laplace equation $-\Delta u = f$ associated to homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions on Γ . The first scheme has unknowns u_K associated to the elements K of the primal mesh \mathcal{T} . The second scheme has unknowns u_{K^*} associated to the elements K^* of the dual mesh \mathcal{T}^* . Both of them are constructed by integrating the Laplace equation over the elements of their respective (primal or dual) mesh and by using a Green formula, which leads to the evaluation of the normal gradient of u at the interfaces between neighboring elements, or on the boundary Γ . Thanks to the orthogonality between the edges of the primal and dual meshes, these normal derivatives may be approached by simple expressions. More precisely, the first scheme reads

$$-\frac{1}{m(K)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} F_{K,\sigma} = f_K, \forall K \in \mathcal{T}, \quad (4)$$

with the fluxes

$$F_{K,\sigma} = m(\sigma) \frac{(u_L - u_K)}{d_\sigma}, \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{int}, \sigma = K|L, \quad (5)$$

$$F_{K,\sigma} = m(\sigma) \frac{(u_\sigma - u_K)}{d_\sigma}, \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}, \sigma \subset \partial K \cap \Gamma. \quad (6)$$

In (4), the right-hand side is the mean-value of f over K :

$$f_K = \frac{1}{m(K)} \int_K f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (7)$$

In (6), we set $u_\sigma = 0$ according to the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition.

The second scheme reads

$$-\frac{1}{m(K^*)} \sum_{\sigma^* \in \mathcal{E}_{K^*}} F_{K^*,\sigma^*} = f_{K^*}, \forall K^* \in \mathcal{T}_{int}^*, \quad (8)$$

with the fluxes

$$F_{K^*,\sigma^*} = d_\sigma \frac{(u_{L^*} - u_{K^*})}{m(\sigma)}, \text{ if } \sigma^* \in \mathcal{E}_{int}^*, \sigma^* = K^*|L^* \quad (9)$$

and boundary conditions

$$u_{K^*} = 0, \forall K^* \in \mathcal{T}_{ext}^*. \quad (10)$$

Note that in Eq. (9), the quantities d_σ and $m(\sigma)$ refer to the primal edge σ associated to the internal dual edge σ^* as explained previously. Moreover, boundary fluxes over $\partial K^* \cap \partial\Omega$ are not needed in the definition of the second scheme, since Eq. (8) is only written for interior dual cells.

In (8), the right-hand side is the mean-value of f over K^* :

$$f_{K^*} = \frac{1}{m(K^*)} \int_{K^*} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (11)$$

Note that although the values of f_{K^*} when $K^* \in \mathcal{T}_{ext}^*$ are not needed by the scheme (8)–(10), we may still define the mean-values of f over these boundary dual cells by Eq. (11).

3. AN EQUIVALENT FINITE ELEMENT-LIKE SCHEME

First, we shall prove that a certain combination of the schemes considered above verify a discrete variational formulation. For this, we shall first define the set in which the unknowns of the above two schemes are to be searched

Definition 3.1. We set

$$V_0(\mathcal{T}) := \left\{ v = ((v_K)_{K \in \mathcal{T}}, (v_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}}, (v_{K^*})_{K^* \in \mathcal{T}^*}), \text{ s.t. } \right. \\ \left. v_\sigma = 0, \forall \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}, v_{K^*} = 0, \forall K^* \in \mathcal{T}_{ext}^* \right\} \quad (12)$$

With these discrete values, we shall associate two functions. We start with

Definition 3.2. Let θ_K be the characteristic function of K . Identically, let θ_{K^*} be the characteristic function of K^* . Let $v = ((v_K)_{K \in \mathcal{T}}, (v_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}}, (v_{K^*})_{K^* \in \mathcal{T}^*})$ be in $V_0(\mathcal{T})$ defined above. We define the function $v_h^{\Delta,*}$ as follows

$$v_h^{\Delta,*} := \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} v_K \theta_K + \sum_{K^* \in \mathcal{T}^*} v_{K^*} \theta_{K^*} \right). \quad (13)$$

In the notation $v_h^{\Delta,*}$, the superscript Δ stands for the primal (triangular) mesh and the superscript $*$ stands for the dual mesh.

The second function is defined by its restrictions on the diamond-cells of the mesh

Definition 3.3. Let $v = ((v_K)_{K \in \mathcal{T}}, (v_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}}, (v_{K^*})_{K^* \in \mathcal{T}^*})$ be in $V_0(\mathcal{T})$ defined above. With these values, we define a function v_h constructed in the following way. Let us first consider an inner primal edge $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{int}$ with $\sigma = K|L$ and $\sigma^* = K^*|L^*$ its associated inner dual edge. The restriction of v_h on $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$ is defined as the only P^1 function over $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$ which is such that (see Fig. 5)

$$\begin{aligned} v_h \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{x}_{K^*}}{2} \right) &= \frac{v_K + v_{K^*}}{2}, & v_h \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{x}_{L^*}}{2} \right) &= \frac{v_K + v_{L^*}}{2}, \\ v_h \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_L + \mathbf{x}_{L^*}}{2} \right) &= \frac{v_L + v_{L^*}}{2}, & v_h \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_L + \mathbf{x}_{K^*}}{2} \right) &= \frac{v_L + v_{K^*}}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

A similar formula defines v_h on $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$ if $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}$ with $\sigma \subset \partial K \cap \Gamma$ associated to the inner dual edge $\sigma^* = K^*|L^*$:

$$\begin{aligned} v_h \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{x}_{K^*}}{2} \right) &= \frac{v_K + v_{K^*}}{2}, & v_h \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{x}_{L^*}}{2} \right) &= \frac{v_K + v_{L^*}}{2}, \\ v_h \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_\sigma + \mathbf{x}_{L^*}}{2} \right) &= \frac{v_\sigma + v_{L^*}}{2}, & v_h \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_\sigma + \mathbf{x}_{K^*}}{2} \right) &= \frac{v_\sigma + v_{K^*}}{2}. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

Of course, the definition of a P^1 function by four of its values is in general impossible. However, it may be checked that (see [19, Prop. 4.1] for details), in the present case, such a function exists and is unique thanks to the fact that the quadrangle $\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{x}_{K^*}}{2} \frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{x}_{L^*}}{2} \frac{\mathbf{x}_L + \mathbf{x}_{L^*}}{2} \frac{\mathbf{x}_L + \mathbf{x}_{K^*}}{2}$ is a rectangle (actually, a parallelogram would be enough) and since the four prescribed values in the right-hand sides of (14) are not independent but verify

$$\frac{v_K + v_{K^*}}{2} + \frac{v_L + v_{L^*}}{2} = \frac{v_K + v_{L^*}}{2} + \frac{v_L + v_{K^*}}{2}.$$


Note that the function v_h is non-conforming since it is only continuous at the midpoints of the boundaries of the cells $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$. Note that thanks to the second line in (15), and since $v_\sigma = 0$ for all $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}$ and $v_{K^*} = 0$ for all $K^* \in \mathcal{T}_{ext}^*$, the function v_h vanishes on Γ .

Definition 3.4. We call \mathcal{L} the linear operator which associates to the element $v \in V_0(\mathcal{T})$ the function v_h defined above. Next, we define

$$V_{h0} := \mathcal{L}(V_0(\mathcal{T}))$$

the set of all possible functions v_h defined by (14) and (15).

A direct calculation leads to the following proposition

FIGURE 5. Values of the P^1 function v_h on the diamond-cell $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma, \sigma^*}$.

Proposition 3.5. *Let v_h be in V_{h0} . Then its broken (diamond-cell per diamond-cell) gradient $\nabla_h v_h$ has the following expression*

$$(\nabla_h v_h)_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma, \sigma^*}} = \begin{cases} \frac{(v_L - v_K)}{d_\sigma} \mathbf{n}_{KL} + \frac{(v_{L^*} - v_{K^*})}{m(\sigma)} \mathbf{n}_{K^*L^*} & \text{if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{int} \\ \frac{(v_\sigma - v_K)}{d_\sigma} \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma} + \frac{(v_{L^*} - v_{K^*})}{m(\sigma)} \mathbf{n}_{K^*L^*} & \text{if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext} \end{cases}, \quad \forall \mathcal{V}_{\sigma, \sigma^*}. \quad (16)$$

We may now state the main result of this section

Proposition 3.6. *The finite volume formulations (4)–(11) may be combined into a single finite element-like formulation which reads: Find u_h in V_{h0} such that for all v_h in V_{h0} ,*

$$a_h(u_h, v_h) = \ell(v_h^{\triangle, *}), \quad (17)$$

where

$$a_h(u_h, v_h) = \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma, \sigma^*}} \int_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma, \sigma^*}} \nabla_h u_h \cdot \nabla_h v_h \, d\mathbf{x}$$

and

$$\ell(v_h^{\triangle, *}) = \int_{\Omega} f v_h^{\triangle, *}(\mathbf{x}) \, d\mathbf{x}. \quad (18)$$

Moreover, there exists a constant C not depending on the mesh such that, if \hat{u} is in $H^2(\Omega)$, there holds

$$|\hat{u} - u_h|_{1,h} := a_h(\hat{u} - u_h, \hat{u} - u_h)^{1/2} \leq Ch \|\hat{u}\|_{H^2(\Omega)}. \quad (19)$$

Note that the definitions of the bilinear form a_h and of the linear form ℓ may be extended to functions belonging to $H^1(\Omega)$. For such functions, the broken gradient in the definition of a_h should be replaced by the classical continuous gradient ∇ .

Proof. The equivalence between the finite element like formulation and the finite volume schemes is a particular case of the proof given in [19, Prop. 4.4]. As an illustration, we shall only show how to derive (17) from (4)–(11).

Consider any vector $v = ((v_K)_{K \in \mathcal{T}}, (v_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}}, (v_{K^*})_{K^* \in \mathcal{T}^*})$ in $V_0(\mathcal{T})$. Thanks to (4) and (7), there holds

$$-v_K \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} F_{K,\sigma} = m(K) v_K f_K = \int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}) v_K \theta_K(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \forall K \in \mathcal{T}.$$

Then, thanks to (8) and (11), there holds for all $K^* \in \mathcal{T}_{int}^*$

$$-v_{K^*} \sum_{\sigma^* \in \mathcal{E}_{K^*}} F_{K^*,\sigma^*} = m(K^*) v_{K^*} f_{K^*} = \int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}) v_{K^*} \theta_{K^*}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (20)$$

But since v_{K^*} vanishes for all $K^* \in \mathcal{T}_{ext}^*$, we may also write, for $K^* \in \mathcal{T}_{ext}^*$

$$-v_{K^*} \sum_{\sigma^* \in \mathcal{E}_{K^*} \cap \mathcal{E}_{int}^*} F_{K^*,\sigma^*} = \int_{\Omega} f(\mathbf{x}) v_{K^*} \theta_{K^*}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Thus, for any vector v in $V_0(\mathcal{T})$, there holds

$$-\frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} v_K \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} F_{K,\sigma} + \sum_{K^* \in \mathcal{T}^*} v_{K^*} \sum_{\sigma^* \in \mathcal{E}_{K^*} \cap \mathcal{E}_{int}^*} F_{K^*,\sigma^*} \right) = \int_{\Omega} f v_h^{\Delta,*}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (21)$$

Now the sums in the left-hand side of Eq. (21) can be reorganized in the following way. Let us first consider a given $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{int}$ and its associated $\sigma^* \in \mathcal{E}_{int}^*$. They both appear twice in the sums in the left-hand side of Eq. (21). Since $F_{K,\sigma} = -F_{L,\sigma}$ and $F_{K^*,\sigma^*} = -F_{L^*,\sigma^*}$ if $\sigma = K|L$ and $\sigma^* = K^*|L^*$, we may write, thanks to the expressions of $F_{K,\sigma}$ and F_{K^*,σ^*} , respectively given by (5) and (9)

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} (v_K F_{K,\sigma} + v_L F_{L,\sigma} + v_{K^*} F_{K^*,\sigma^*} + v_{L^*} F_{L^*,\sigma^*}) = \\ & \frac{1}{2} m(\sigma) \frac{(u_L - u_K)}{d_\sigma} (v_L - v_K) + \frac{1}{2} d_\sigma \frac{(u_{L^*} - u_{K^*})}{m(\sigma)} (v_{L^*} - v_{K^*}) = \\ & m(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}) \left[\frac{(u_L - u_K)}{d_\sigma} \frac{(v_L - v_K)}{d_\sigma} + \frac{(u_{L^*} - u_{K^*})}{m(\sigma)} \frac{(v_{L^*} - v_{K^*})}{m(\sigma)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

thanks to (1). Let us now consider a given $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}$ and its associated $\sigma^* \in \mathcal{E}_{int}^*$. In Eq. (21), σ appears only once since it is a boundary edge. On the other hand, σ^* still appears twice and we may write

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{1}{2} (v_K F_{K,\sigma} + v_{K^*} F_{K^*,\sigma^*} + v_{L^*} F_{L^*,\sigma^*}) = \\ & -\frac{1}{2} m(\sigma) \frac{(u_\sigma - u_K)}{d_\sigma} v_K + \frac{1}{2} d_\sigma \frac{(u_{L^*} - u_{K^*})}{m(\sigma)} (v_{L^*} - v_{K^*}) = \\ & m(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}) \left[\frac{(u_\sigma - u_K)}{d_\sigma} \frac{(v_\sigma - v_K)}{d_\sigma} + \frac{(u_{L^*} - u_{K^*})}{m(\sigma)} \frac{(v_{L^*} - v_{K^*})}{m(\sigma)} \right], \end{aligned}$$

since v_σ vanishes. Finally, since $\mathbf{n}_{KL} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K^*L^*} = 0$, Eq. (21) may be rewritten as

$$\sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} m(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}) (\nabla_h u_h)_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \cdot (\nabla_h v)_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} = \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \nabla_h u_h \cdot \nabla_h v_h = \int_{\Omega} f v_h^{\Delta,*}(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x},$$

which is the desired result.

Moreover, the error estimation (19) is inferred from [19, Th. 5.20], in which the angle τ^* is always equal to $\pi/2$ in the special case of Delaunay-Voronoi meshes. Related convergence results in a discrete norm for each component (primal or dual) of the gradient may be found in [22, 33, 37]. \square

4. ERROR ESTIMATION IN THE L^2 NORM

Using the equivalent (non-conforming) finite element formulation, we shall derive an estimation in the L^2 norm using the traditional Aubin-Nitsche lemma. An additional difficulty will arise due to the fact that the right-hand side in (17) is given by (18) instead of the more traditional term $\int_{\Omega} f v_h(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$ which would arise in a genuine finite element method. In order to evaluate errors coming from the difference between these two terms, we shall state a regularity hypothesis on f , which is the same as that involved in the studies concerning vertex-centered finite volume element schemes, see, e.g., [21] and one-dimensional cell-centered finite volume schemes, see [35].

Hypothesis 4.1. *We suppose that the function f belongs to $H^1(\Omega)$.*

Remark 4.2. The first consequence of this hypothesis (actually, f in $L^2(\Omega)$ would be enough for this) is that, since Ω has been supposed to be a convex polygonal domain, the exact solution \hat{u} of the Laplace equation belongs to $H^2(\Omega)$ and there exists a constant C , not depending in f such that $\|\hat{u}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. As a corollary, the error estimation (19) provides

$$|\hat{u} - u_h|_{1,h} \leq Ch \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad (22)$$

with a constant C that does not depend on the mesh.

4.1. A representation formula for the error in the $L^2(\Omega)$ norm

We start by writing

$$\|\hat{u} - u_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \sup_{g \in L^2(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega} (u_h - \hat{u})g(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x}}{\|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}}. \quad (23)$$

Now, for a given $g \in L^2(\Omega)$, let us define $\hat{\phi} \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ which is the unique solution of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \hat{\phi} = g & \text{in } \Omega \\ \hat{\phi} = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma. \end{cases} \quad (24)$$

Since g is in $L^2(\Omega)$, and since we have supposed that Ω is a convex polygonal domain, $\hat{\phi}$ belongs to $H^2(\Omega)$ and there exists a constant C depending only on Ω such that

$$\|\hat{\phi}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (25)$$

We may write the following representation formula

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\phi = ((\phi_K)_{K \in \mathcal{T}}, (\phi_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}}, (\phi_{K^*})_{K^* \in \mathcal{T}^*})$ be given in $V_0(\mathcal{T})$ and let*

$$\phi_h = \mathcal{L}(\phi) \in V_{h0} \quad (26)$$

be the function associated to ϕ through Def. 3.4. There holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (u_h - \hat{u})g(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} &= a_h(u_h - \hat{u}, \hat{\phi} - \phi_h) - \int_{\Omega} f \left(\phi_h - \phi_h^{\Delta,*} \right) (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &- \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\partial\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \nabla \hat{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} \phi_h d\sigma \\ &- \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\partial\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} (u_h - \hat{u}) \nabla \hat{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{n} d\sigma. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Proof. Through Eq. (24), there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (u_h - \hat{u})g(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} &= - \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} (u_h - \hat{u}) \Delta \hat{\phi} (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &= a_h(u_h - \hat{u}, \hat{\phi}) - \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\partial\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} (u_h - \hat{u}) \nabla \hat{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{n} d\sigma, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

thanks to a Green formula on each $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$, and where \mathbf{n} is the unit exterior normal vector on $\partial\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$. Now let us consider an arbitrary ϕ given in $V_0(\mathcal{T})$ and let $\phi_h = \mathcal{L}(\phi)$ be its associated function. There holds, by definition of the bilinear form a_h

$$a_h(u_h - \hat{u}, \hat{\phi}) = a_h(u_h - \hat{u}, \hat{\phi} - \phi_h) + a_h(u_h, \phi_h) - \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \nabla \hat{u} \cdot \nabla_h \phi_h (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (29)$$

Thanks to (17), we have

$$a_h(u_h, \phi_h) = \int_{\Omega} f \phi_h^{\Delta,*} (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (30)$$

On the other hand, since $-\Delta \hat{u} = f$, a Green formula on each $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$ provides

$$- \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \nabla \hat{u} \cdot \nabla_h \phi_h (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = - \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} f \phi_h (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} - \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\partial\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \nabla \hat{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} \phi_h d\sigma. \quad (31)$$

Gathering (28)–(31), the result (27) is obtained. \square

Up to now, the values of ϕ are arbitrary, but since they will play a key role in the evaluation of the various terms in (27), we shall precise them now.

4.2. Choosing ϕ

Of course, we shall choose ϕ so that the associated function $\phi_h = \mathcal{L}(\phi)$ (see Def. 3.4) will be a good approximation of $\hat{\phi}$. We propose to choose the values $((\phi_K)_{K \in \mathcal{T}}, (\phi_{\sigma})_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}}, (\phi_{K^*})_{K^* \in \mathcal{T}^*})$ as the solutions of the primal and dual finite volume schemes of section 2, associated to the Laplace equation (24) satisfied by $\hat{\phi}$. More precisely, we write

$$- \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} F_{K,\sigma} = \int_K g(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}, \quad (32)$$

with the fluxes

$$F_{K,\sigma} = m(\sigma) \frac{(\phi_L - \phi_K)}{d_{\sigma}}, \quad \text{if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{int}, \sigma = K|L, \quad (33)$$

$$F_{K,\sigma} = m(\sigma) \frac{(\phi_\sigma - \phi_K)}{d_\sigma}, \text{ if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}, \sigma \subset \partial K \cap \Gamma. \quad (34)$$

In (34), we set

$$\phi_\sigma = 0 \quad (35)$$

according to the homogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition.

The second scheme reads

$$-\sum_{\sigma^* \in \mathcal{E}_{K^*}} F_{K^*,\sigma^*} = \int_{K^*} g(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \forall K^* \in \mathcal{T}_{int}^*, \quad (36)$$

with the fluxes

$$F_{K^*,\sigma^*} = d_\sigma \frac{(\phi_{L^*} - \phi_{K^*})}{m(\sigma)}, \text{ if } \sigma^* \in \mathcal{E}_{int}^*, \sigma^* = K^*|L^* \quad (37)$$

and boundary conditions

$$\phi_{K^*} = 0, \forall K^* \in \mathcal{T}_{ext}^*. \quad (38)$$

Note that Eqs (35) and (38) ensure that ϕ is indeed in $V_0(\mathcal{T})$ as required in Prop. 4.3.

In particular, two points will be important in what follows. First, since $\hat{\phi}$ is in $H^2(\Omega)$, we may apply the error estimate given by (19), in which we replace \hat{u} and u_h by $\hat{\phi}$ and ϕ_h , so that there holds, taking into account (25)

$$\left| \hat{\phi} - \phi_h \right|_{1,h} \leq Ch \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (39)$$

with a constant C not depending on the mesh. Moreover, it is clear from the definitions (16) and from (32) and (33), that ϕ_h verifies

$$-\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} m(\sigma) \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma} = \int_K g(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \forall K \in \mathcal{T}. \quad (40)$$

For this, we recall that we have set $\mathbf{n}_{KL} = \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma}$ if $\sigma = K|L$.

4.3. Estimations of the various terms in (27)

The technique used to evaluate the last two terms in Eq. (27) is classical and dates back to [17]. It is based on [17, lemma 3], in which we choose $m = 0$:

Lemma 4.4. *Let T be a triangle and let T' be any of its edges; there exists a constant C independent of T such that for all v in $H^1(T)$, and for all φ in $H^1(T)$, there holds*


$$\left| \int_{T'} \varphi(v - M_{T'}v) d\sigma \right| \leq C\sigma(T) \text{diam}(T) |\varphi|_{1,T} |v|_{1,T}, \quad (41)$$

where $M_{T'}v := \frac{1}{m(T')} \int_{T'} v d\sigma$ is the mean value of v over T' and where $\sigma(T) := \frac{\text{diam}(T)}{\rho(T)}$ is classically the ratio of the diameter of T to the diameter of the largest circle inscribed in T .

Let us start by the last term in (27).

Lemma 4.5. *There exists a constant C depending only on θ^* such that*

$$\left| \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} (u_h - \hat{u}) \nabla \hat{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{n} d\sigma \right| \leq Ch^2 \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (42)$$

FIGURE 6. Definition of the triangle T and its edge T' .

Proof. The function u_h is piecewise P^1 and continuous at the midpoint of each edge of the diamond mesh and vanishes on the boundary Γ . Moreover, $\hat{\phi}$ is in $H^2(\Omega)$. Thus, there holds

$$\sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \sum_{T' \subset \partial \mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{T'} u_h M_{T'} \nabla \hat{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0.$$

Moreover, since \hat{u} is in $H^2(\Omega)$ and vanishes on Γ

$$\sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \sum_{T' \subset \partial \mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{T'} \hat{u} M_{T'} \nabla \hat{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} (u_h - \hat{u}) \nabla \hat{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{n} d\sigma = \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \sum_{T' \subset \partial \mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{T'} (u_h - \hat{u}) (\nabla \hat{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{n} - M_{T'} \nabla \hat{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{n}) d\sigma.$$

Next, for each $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$ and each edge $T' \subset \partial \mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$, we shall apply lemma 4.4 on a triangle T defined to be the convex hull of $T' \cup \{\mathbf{x}_\sigma\}$ (see Fig. 6) with $v = \nabla \hat{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{n} \in H^1(T)$ (since $\hat{\phi} \in H^2(\Omega)$) and $\varphi = (u_h - \hat{u}) \in H^1(T)$ (since u_h is in $P^1(T)$ and $\hat{u} \in H^2(\Omega)$). Since $\text{diam}(T) \leq h$ and since it is well-known that $\sigma(T) \leq \frac{2}{\sin \theta(T)}$ where $\theta(T)$ is the smallest angle in T , the second point of lemma 1.2 and (41) lead to the existence of a constant C depending only on θ^* such that

$$\left| \int_{T'} (u_h - \hat{u}) (\nabla \hat{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{n} - M_{T'} \nabla \hat{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{n}) d\sigma \right| \leq Ch \|\nabla(\hat{u} - u_h)\|_{L^2(T)} \|\hat{\phi}\|_{H^2(T)}.$$

Since the set of such triangles T constitutes a partition of Ω , a discrete Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, together with (25) and (22) leads to (42). \square

Now, we turn to the third term in the right-hand side of (27).

Lemma 4.6. *There exists a constant C depending only on θ^* such that*

$$\left| \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\partial\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \nabla \hat{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} \phi_h d\sigma \right| \leq Ch^2 \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} . \quad (43)$$

Proof. The third term in the right-hand side of (27) may be transformed into

$$- \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\partial\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \nabla \hat{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} (\phi_h - \hat{\phi}) d\sigma \quad (44)$$

since $\hat{\phi}$ is continuous and vanishes along Γ and since there is no jump of $\nabla \hat{u} \in H^1(\Omega)$ across $\partial\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$. Now, the technique we have used above to obtain (42) may be applied to evaluate (44) and we end up with

$$\left| \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\partial\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} (\phi_h - \hat{\phi}) \nabla \hat{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} d\sigma \right| \leq Ch \left| \hat{\phi} - \phi_h \right|_{1,h} \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

with a constant depending only on θ^* , and we conclude with (39). \square

Next, bounding the first term in the right-hand side of (27) is performed by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and by (22) and (39). We obtain

Lemma 4.7. *There exists a constant C not depending on the mesh such that*

$$\left| a_h(u_h - \hat{u}, \hat{\phi} - \phi_h) \right| \leq Ch^2 \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} . \quad (45)$$

Now, the term which remains to be evaluated in (27) is that coming from the fact that (17)-(18) is not a genuine finite element formulation, like explained in the introduction of section 4.

We shall first define the following functions

Definition 4.8. Let $((\phi_K)_{K \in \mathcal{T}}, (\phi_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}}, (\phi_{K^*})_{K^* \in \mathcal{T}^*})$ be given, we define ϕ_h^Δ and ϕ_h^* by

$$\phi_h^\Delta|_K(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{\phi_K}{2}, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in K, \quad \forall K \in \mathcal{T}, \quad (46)$$

$$\phi_h^*|_{K^*}(\mathbf{x}) := \frac{\phi_{K^*}}{2}, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in K^*, \quad \forall K^* \in \mathcal{T}^*, \quad (47)$$

Definition 4.9. Let $((\phi_K)_{K \in \mathcal{T}}, (\phi_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}}, (\phi_{K^*})_{K^* \in \mathcal{T}^*})$ be given, we define ϕ_h^1 and ϕ_h^2 by

$$\phi_h^1(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} := \begin{cases} \frac{d_{K\sigma}\phi_L + d_{L\sigma}\phi_K}{2d_\sigma} + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_\sigma) \cdot \left(\frac{\phi_L - \phi_K}{d_\sigma} \right) \mathbf{n}_{KL}, & \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*} \quad \text{if } \sigma = K|L \in \mathcal{E}_{int} \\ \frac{\phi_\sigma}{2} + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_\sigma) \cdot \left(\frac{\phi_\sigma - \phi_K}{d_\sigma} \right) \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma}, & \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*} \quad \text{if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext} \end{cases}, \quad (48)$$

and

$$\phi_h^2(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} := \frac{\phi_{L^*} + \phi_{K^*}}{4} + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_\sigma) \cdot \left(\frac{\phi_{L^*} - \phi_{K^*}}{m(\sigma)} \right) \mathbf{n}_{K^*L^*}, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}, \quad \text{with } \sigma^* = K^*|L^*, \quad (49)$$

With these definitions, there holds

Lemma 4.10.

$$\phi_h - \phi_h^{\Delta,*} = (\phi_h^1 - \phi_h^\Delta) + (\phi_h^2 - \phi_h^*). \quad (50)$$

Proof. From (13), (46) and (47), there holds

$$\phi_h^{\Delta,*}(\mathbf{x}) = \phi_h^{\Delta}(\mathbf{x}) + \phi_h^*(\mathbf{x}), \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \Omega. \quad (51)$$

Moreover, the following equality may also be easily checked by simple interpolation (see Fig. 5)

$$\phi_h(\mathbf{x}_\sigma) = \begin{cases} \frac{d_{K\sigma}\phi_L + d_{L\sigma}\phi_K}{2d_\sigma} + \frac{\phi_{L^*} + \phi_{K^*}}{4} & \text{if } \sigma = K|L \in \mathcal{E}_{int}, \sigma^* = K^*|L^* \\ \frac{\phi_\sigma}{2} + \frac{\phi_{L^*} + \phi_{K^*}}{4} & \text{if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}, \sigma^* = K^*|L^* \end{cases}$$

so that, since ϕ_h is a P^1 function in $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$,

$$\phi_h(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} = \begin{cases} \frac{d_{K\sigma}\phi_L + d_{L\sigma}\phi_K}{2d_\sigma} + \frac{\phi_{L^*} + \phi_{K^*}}{4} + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_\sigma) \cdot \nabla_h \phi_h, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*} & \text{if } \sigma = K|L \in \mathcal{E}_{int} \\ \frac{\phi_\sigma}{2} + \frac{\phi_{L^*} + \phi_{K^*}}{4} + (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_\sigma) \cdot \nabla_h \phi_h, \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*} & \text{if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext} \end{cases},$$

with, in both cases, $\sigma^* = K^*|L^*$. Recalling that $\nabla_h \phi_h$ is given by (16), and with the definitions (48) and (49), there holds

$$\phi_h(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} = \phi_h^1(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} + \phi_h^2(\mathbf{x})|_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}},$$

which, together with (51), leads to (50). \square

Moreover, from (48), (49) and (16), recalling that we have set $\mathbf{n}_{KL} = \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma}$ if $\sigma = K|L$, there holds

Lemma 4.11.

$$(\nabla_h \phi_h^1)|_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma} = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\phi_L - \phi_K}{d_\sigma} \right) = (\nabla_h \phi_h)|_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma} & \text{if } \sigma = K|L \in \mathcal{E}_{int} \\ \left(\frac{\phi_\sigma - \phi_K}{d_\sigma} \right) = (\nabla_h \phi_h)|_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma} & \text{if } \sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext} \end{cases} \quad (52)$$

and

$$(\nabla_h \phi_h^2)|_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K^*L^*} = \left(\frac{\phi_{L^*} - \phi_{K^*}}{m(\sigma)} \right) = (\nabla_h \phi_h)|_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K^*L^*}. \quad (53)$$

With these definitions, the remaining term which has to be evaluated in (27) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f(\phi_h - \phi_h^{\Delta,*})(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} &= \int_{\Omega} (f - f^{\Delta})(\phi_h^1 - \phi_h^{\Delta})(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} f^{\Delta}(\phi_h^1 - \phi_h^{\Delta})(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} (f - f^{\sigma\sigma^*})(\phi_h^2 - \phi_h^*)(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \\ &+ \int_{\Omega} f^{\sigma\sigma^*}(\phi_h^2 - \phi_h^*)(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where the following L^2 projections have been used:

$$f^{\Delta}(\mathbf{x}) = f_K = \frac{1}{m(K)} \int_K f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in K, \forall K \in \mathcal{T}, \quad (55)$$

$$f^{\sigma\sigma^*}(\mathbf{x}) = f_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} = \frac{1}{m(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*})} \int_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} f(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}, \quad \forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}, \forall \mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}.$$

We shall first evaluate the first and third terms in the right-hand side of Eq. (54).

Lemma 4.12. *There exists a constant C , not depending on the mesh, such that*

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (f - f^{\Delta}) (\phi_h^1 - \phi_h^{\Delta}) (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Omega} (f - f^{\sigma\sigma^*}) (\phi_h^2 - \phi_h^*) (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \right| \leq Ch^2 \|f\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (56)$$

Proof. From (48), if $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{int}$, with $\sigma = K|L$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_h^1 \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{x}_{\sigma}}{2} \right) &= \frac{d_{K\sigma}\phi_L + d_{L\sigma}\phi_K}{2d_{\sigma}} + \left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_K - \mathbf{x}_{\sigma}}{2} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{\phi_L - \phi_K}{d_{\sigma}} \right) \mathbf{n}_{KL} \\ &= \frac{d_{K\sigma}\phi_L + d_{L\sigma}\phi_K}{2d_{\sigma}} - \frac{d_{K\sigma}(\phi_L - \phi_K)}{2d_{\sigma}} \\ &= \frac{d_{K\sigma} + d_{L\sigma}}{2d_{\sigma}} \phi_K = \frac{\phi_K}{2} = \phi_h^{\Delta}|_K, \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

and the same equality holds if $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}$, with $\sigma \subset \partial K \cap \partial\Omega$. This shows that the function ϕ_h^{Δ} interpolates the function ϕ_h^1 at $\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{x}_{\sigma}}{2} \in \mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma}$. Thus, since $\phi_h^1 - \phi_h^{\Delta}$ is a P^1 function in $\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma}$, there holds, with (52)

$$\left\| \phi_h^1 - \phi_h^{\Delta} \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma})}^2 \leq \text{diam}^2(\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma}) \left\| \nabla_h \phi_h^1 \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma})}^2 \leq \text{diam}^2(\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma}) \left\| \nabla_h \phi_h \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma})}^2.$$

Summing over all $\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma}$ for $\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K$ and $K \in \mathcal{T}$, and since $\text{diam}(\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma}) \leq h$, we obtain

$$\left\| \phi_h^1 - \phi_h^{\Delta} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq h |\phi_h|_{1,h}.$$

In the same way, it may be shown from (49) that ϕ_h^* interpolates ϕ_h^2 at $\frac{\mathbf{x}_{K^*} + \mathbf{x}_{\sigma}}{2} \in \mathcal{V}_{K^*,\sigma^*}$, so that

$$\left\| \phi_h^2 - \phi_h^* \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq h |\phi_h|_{1,h}.$$

On the other hand, since, through Hyp. 4.1, $f \in H^1(\Omega)$, and since every K and every $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$ are convex, there exists a constant C that does not depend on f , K or $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$ such that

$$\left\| f - f^{\Delta} \right\|_{L^2(K)} \leq C \text{diam}(K) \left\| \nabla f \right\|_{L^2(K)}$$

and

$$\left\| f - f^{\sigma\sigma^*} \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*})} \leq C \text{diam}(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}) \left\| \nabla f \right\|_{L^2(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*})}.$$

This leads to

$$\left\| f - f^{\Delta} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch \left\| \nabla f \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$$

and

$$\left\| f - f^{\sigma\sigma^*} \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch \left\| \nabla f \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

We conclude that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} (f - f^{\Delta}) (\phi_h^1 - \phi_h^{\Delta}) (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\Omega} (f - f^{\sigma\sigma^*}) (\phi_h^2 - \phi_h^*) (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} \right| \leq Ch^2 \left\| \nabla f \right\|_{L^2(\Omega)} |\phi_h|_{1,h}, \quad (58)$$

Moreover, the triangle inequality and (39) lead to

$$|\phi_h|_{1,h} \leq \left\| \hat{\phi} \right\|_{H^1(\Omega)} + \left| \hat{\phi} - \phi_h \right|_{1,h} \leq \left\| \hat{\phi} \right\|_{H^2(\Omega)} + Ch \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \quad (59)$$

which, injected in (58), and taking (25) into account, lead to (56). \square

We now evaluate the last term in Eq. (54).

Lemma 4.13. *There holds*

$$\int_{\Omega} f^{\sigma\sigma^*} (\phi_h^2 - \phi_h^*) (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = 0. \quad (60)$$

Proof. Since $f^{\sigma\sigma^*}$ is a constant over each $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$, there holds

$$\int_{\Omega} f^{\sigma\sigma^*} (\phi_h^2 - \phi_h^*) (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} f_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} (\phi_h^2 - \phi_h^*) (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (61)$$

Since \mathbf{x}_{σ} is the midpoint of σ , and by symmetry of $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$ with respect to $[\mathbf{x}_K \mathbf{x}_L]$, there holds

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} (\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\sigma}) \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K^*L^*} d\mathbf{x} = 0.$$

Thus, from Eq. (49), we infer that

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \phi_h^2(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = m(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}) \frac{\phi_{L^*} + \phi_{K^*}}{4}. \quad (62)$$

Moreover, from Eq. (47)

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \phi_h^*(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \int_{\mathcal{V}_{K^*,\sigma^*}} \frac{\phi_{K^*}}{2} d\mathbf{x} + \int_{\mathcal{V}_{L^*,\sigma^*}} \frac{\phi_{L^*}}{2} d\mathbf{x}.$$

By symmetry of $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$ with respect to $[\mathbf{x}_K \mathbf{x}_L]$, there holds $m(\mathcal{V}_{K^*,\sigma^*}) = m(\mathcal{V}_{L^*,\sigma^*}) = \frac{1}{2}m(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*})$. Thus,

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \phi_h^*(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = m(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}) \frac{\phi_{L^*} + \phi_{K^*}}{4}. \quad (63)$$

Thus, (60) follows from (61), (62) and (63). \square

Finally, there remains to evaluate the second term in the right-hand side of (54). The following lemma will be helpful for this.

Lemma 4.14. *Recall that R_K is the radius of the circle in which the triangle K is inscribed. There holds*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} f^{\Delta} (\phi_h^1 - \phi_h^{\Delta}) (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} &= \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} f_K \frac{R_K^2}{12} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} m(\sigma) \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{48} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} f_K \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} (m(\sigma))^3 \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

Proof. By definition of ϕ_h^{Δ} , see (46), and of f^{Δ} , see (55), there holds

$$\int_{\Omega} f^{\Delta} (\phi_h^1 - \phi_h^{\Delta}) (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} f_K \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} \int_{\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma}} \left(\phi_h^1 - \frac{\phi_K}{2} \right) (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (65)$$

Since ϕ_h^1 is a P^1 function over the triangle $\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma}$, the following quadrature formula is exact

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma}} \phi_h^1(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{m(\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma})}{3} [\phi_h^1(\mathbf{x}_K) + 2\phi_h^1(\mathbf{x}_\sigma)] . \quad (66)$$

But we also have

$$\phi_h^1(\mathbf{x}_K) + \phi_h^1(\mathbf{x}_\sigma) = 2\phi_h^1\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{x}_\sigma}{2}\right) \quad (67)$$

and

$$\phi_h^1(\mathbf{x}_\sigma) = \phi_h^1\left(\frac{\mathbf{x}_K + \mathbf{x}_\sigma}{2}\right) + \frac{\mathbf{x}_\sigma - \mathbf{x}_K}{2} \cdot \nabla_h \phi_h^1 . \quad (68)$$

Summing (67) and (68), and using (57), the fact that $\mathbf{x}_\sigma - \mathbf{x}_K = d_{K\sigma} \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma}$ and (52), Eq. (66) writes

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma}} \phi_h^1(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{m(\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma})}{3} \left[3\frac{\phi_K}{2} + \frac{d_{K\sigma}}{2} \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma} \right] .$$

Since $m(\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma}) = \frac{d_{K\sigma} m(\sigma)}{2}$, we finally have

$$\int_{\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma}} \left(\phi_h^1 - \frac{\phi_K}{2} \right) (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \frac{d_{K\sigma}^2 m(\sigma)}{12} \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma} .$$

The next step is the calculation of

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} \int_{\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma}} \left(\phi_h^1 - \frac{\phi_K}{2} \right) (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} \frac{d_{K\sigma}^2 m(\sigma)}{12} \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma} .$$

This is performed using the fact that $d_{K\sigma}^2 = R_K^2 - \frac{(m(\sigma))^2}{4}$ (see Fig. 1). Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} \int_{\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma}} \left(\phi_h^1 - \frac{\phi_K}{2} \right) (\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x} &= \frac{R_K^2}{12} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} m(\sigma) \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{48} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} (m(\sigma))^3 \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma} . \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

Inserting (69) into (65) yields (64). □

Now, we bound the first term in the right-hand side of (64).

Lemma 4.15. *There exists a constant C such that*

$$\left| \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} f_K \frac{R_K^2}{12} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} m(\sigma) \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma} \right| \leq Ch^2 \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} . \quad (70)$$

Proof. Recall that ϕ has been chosen so that (40) holds. This implies

$$R_K^2 \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} m(\sigma) \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma} = -R_K^2 \int_K g(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}$$

so that, using a continuous and then a discrete Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} f_K \frac{R_K^2}{12} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} m(\sigma) \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma} \right| &\leq \frac{1}{12} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} f_K R_K^2 \sqrt{m(K)} \|g\|_{L^2(K)} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{12} h^2 \left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} m(K) |f_K|^2 \right)^{1/2} \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \end{aligned}$$

since $R_K \leq h$ by definition. Eq. (70) is then obtained since $\left(\sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} m(K) |f_K|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. \square

Lemma 4.16. *Under Hyp. 1.1 and 4.1, there exists a constant C , depending only on θ^* , such that*

$$\left| \frac{1}{48} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} f_K \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} (m(\sigma))^3 \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{K\sigma} \right| \leq Ch^2 \|f\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (71)$$

Proof. Recall that for any $\sigma = K|L$, there holds $\nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{KL} = -\nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{LK}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{K \in \mathcal{T}} f_K \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_K} (m(\sigma))^3 \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{KL} &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{int}, \sigma=K|L} (m(\sigma))^3 (f_K - f_L) \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{KL} \\ &+ \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}} (m(\sigma))^3 f_K \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{KL}. \end{aligned} \quad (72)$$

Since $m(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma, \sigma^*}) = \frac{m(\sigma) d_\sigma}{2}$, and $m(\sigma) \leq h$ there holds

$$(m(\sigma))^3 = \sqrt{2} \sqrt{m(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma, \sigma^*})} (m(\sigma))^2 \sqrt{\frac{m(\sigma)}{d_\sigma}} \leq Ch^2 \sqrt{m(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma, \sigma^*})} \sqrt{\frac{m(\sigma)}{d_\sigma}},$$

so that, using a discrete Cauchy-Schwarz inequality yields

$$\left| \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{int}, \sigma=K|L} (m(\sigma))^3 (f_K - f_L) \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{KL} \right| \leq Ch^2 \left[\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{int}, \sigma=K|L} \frac{m(\sigma)}{d_\sigma} (f_K - f_L)^2 \right]^{1/2} |\phi_h|_{1,h}.$$

Thanks to the last point of Lemma 1.2, we may now directly apply [22, Lemma 9.4] to conclude that

$$\left| \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{int}, \sigma=K|L} (m(\sigma))^3 (f_K - f_L) \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{KL} \right| \leq Ch^2 \|f\|_{H^1(\Omega)} |\phi_h|_{1,h}.$$

Using (59) and taking (25) into account, this yields

$$\left| \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{int}, \sigma=K|L} (m(\sigma))^3 (f_K - f_L) \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{KL} \right| \leq Ch^2 \|f\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (73)$$

Now the last term in (72) may be estimated in the following way

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}} (m(\sigma))^3 f_K \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{KL} = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}} \frac{(m(\sigma))^3}{m^{1/2}(K) m^{1/2}(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma, \sigma^*})} m^{1/2}(K) f_K m^{1/2}(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma, \sigma^*}) \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{KL}. \quad (74)$$

Since for boundary triangles $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*} = \mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma} \subset K$, there holds

$$m^{1/2}(K)m^{1/2}(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}) \geq m(\mathcal{V}_{K,\sigma}) = \frac{m(\sigma)d_{K\sigma}}{2},$$

so that

$$\frac{(m(\sigma))^3}{m^{1/2}(K)m^{1/2}(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*})} \leq 2 \frac{(m(\sigma))^2}{d_{K\sigma}} \leq Ch,$$

with a constant depending only on θ^* , thanks to the last point in lemma 1.2. Taking this into account in (74) and applying a discrete Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, there holds

$$\left| \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}} (m(\sigma))^3 f_K \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{KL} \right| \leq Ch \|\nabla_h \phi_h\|_{L^2(B_h)} \|f\|_{L^2(B_h)}, \quad (75)$$

since f_K is the L^2 orthogonal projection of f over K . We have denoted by B_h the strip around Γ which contains all K such that $m(\partial K \cap \Gamma) \neq 0$. Note that this strip has a width of at most h , so that, according to Ilin's inequality (see, e.g. [12, Formula (2.1)]), and since $\hat{\phi} \in H^2(\Omega)$, there holds

$$\|\nabla \hat{\phi}\|_{L^2(B_h)} \leq Ch^{1/2} \|\hat{\phi}\|_{H^2(\Omega)},$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla_h \phi_h\|_{L^2(B_h)} &\leq \|\phi_h - \hat{\phi}\|_{1,h} + \|\nabla \hat{\phi}\|_{L^2(B_h)} \\ &\leq Ch \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} + Ch^{1/2} \|\hat{\phi}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch^{1/2} \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}, \end{aligned} \quad (76)$$

according to (39). Moreover, since by Hyp. 4.1, f belongs to $H^1(\Omega)$, we may apply Ilin's inequality again to obtain

$$\|f\|_{L^2(B_h)} \leq Ch^{1/2} \|f\|_{H^1(\Omega)}. \quad (77)$$

Inserting (76) and (77) into (75), we conclude that there exists a constant C such that

$$\left| \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}} (m(\sigma))^3 f_K \nabla_h \phi_h \cdot \mathbf{n}_{KL} \right| \leq Ch^2 \|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|f\|_{H^1(\Omega)}. \quad (78)$$

Gathering (78) and (73) into (72) yields (71). \square

Starting from (23), we may now gather all the intermediary results (27), (42), (43), (45), (54), (56), (60), (64), (70) and (71) to get the main result of this article

Theorem 4.17. *Let Ω be a two-dimensional convex polygonal domain. Let \hat{u} be the exact solution of the equation $-\Delta \hat{u} = f$ in Ω , with homogeneous Dirichlet boundary conditions. Let $u = ((u_K)_{K \in \mathcal{T}}, (u_\sigma)_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}_{ext}}, (u_{K^*})_{K^* \in \mathcal{T}^*})$ be the solution of the finite volumes schemes (4)–(11), and let u_h be the function in V_{h0} associated to u through definitions 3.3 and 3.4. Then, under Hyp. 1.1 and 4.1, there exists a constant C depending only on θ^* , such that*

$$\|\hat{u} - u_h\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq Ch^2 \|f\|_{H^1(\Omega)}.$$

5. EXTENSION TO A MORE GENERAL DIFFUSION EQUATION

The question of extending the result presented in this article to more general situations actually contains three sub-questions: a) What would the finite volume schemes in these more general situations be? b) Given these FV schemes, can they be recast into an equivalent finite element - like scheme? c) From this equivalent scheme, is it possible to infer second order convergence?

In case of a diffusion equation $-\nabla \cdot (\eta \nabla \hat{u}) = f$ with a regular scalar coefficient η , the usual answer to sub-question a) is that in equations (4) and (8) the fluxes are now defined in the following way (we restrict the discussion to inner edges for the sake of simplicity):

$$\begin{aligned} F_{K,\sigma} &= m(\sigma) \eta_\sigma \frac{(u_L - u_K)}{d_\sigma}, \text{ if } \sigma = K|L \\ F_{K^*,\sigma^*} &= d_\sigma \eta_{\sigma^*} \frac{(u_{L^*} - u_{K^*})}{m(\sigma)}, \text{ if } \sigma^* = K^*|L^* \end{aligned}$$

Several choices may be proposed for η_σ and η_{σ^*} ; for example the choice

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_\sigma &= \frac{1}{m(\sigma)} \int_\sigma \eta \, d\ell \\ \eta_{\sigma^*} &= \frac{1}{d_\sigma} \int_{\sigma^*} \eta \, d\ell \end{aligned}$$

corresponds to mean-values of η along the edges (see, e.g., Ref. [6]). Another possibility is suggested by Ref. [22, pp. 816–818]:

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_\sigma &= \frac{\eta_K \eta_L d_\sigma}{\eta_K d_{L\sigma} + \eta_L d_{K\sigma}} \\ \eta_{\sigma^*} &= \frac{2\eta_{K^*} \eta_{L^*}}{\eta_{K^*} + \eta_{L^*}}, \end{aligned}$$

which corresponds to harmonic averaging of cell-defined values $\eta_K, \eta_L, \eta_{K^*}$ and η_{L^*} which may themselves be defined as mean-values of η over the respective associated cells.

Regarding sub-question b), it may be checked that the resulting FV schemes may be rewritten into a finite element - like scheme which reads

$$a_h(u_h, v_h) = \ell(v_h^{\Delta,*}),$$

where the definition of the bilinear form a_h is now given by

$$a_h(u_h, v_h) := \sum_{\sigma, \sigma^*} \int_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma, \sigma^*}} (A_{\sigma, \sigma^*} \nabla_h u_h) \cdot \nabla_h v_h$$

where, if we denote by (n_{xKL}, n_{yKL}) the coordinates of the normal vector \mathbf{n}_{KL} , the diamond-cell dependent matrix A_{σ, σ^*} is defined by

$$A_{\sigma, \sigma^*} = \begin{pmatrix} \eta_\sigma n_{xKL}^2 + \eta_{\sigma^*} n_{yKL}^2 & (\eta_\sigma - \eta_{\sigma^*}) n_{xKL} n_{yKL} \\ (\eta_\sigma - \eta_{\sigma^*}) n_{xKL} n_{yKL} & \eta_{\sigma^*} n_{xKL}^2 + \eta_\sigma n_{yKL}^2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Although this is not a very natural finite element - like technique, this is still acceptable because if η is regular, then $A_{\sigma, \sigma^*} = \eta(\mathbf{x}) Id + O(h)$. We may admit that if η is regular, uniformly strictly positive and bounded then

$$|\hat{u} - u_h|_{1,h} \leq Ch \|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (79)$$

However, we may now face a difficulty coming from point c). Indeed, the first line of Eq. (24) is now replaced by $-\nabla \cdot (\eta \nabla \hat{\phi}) = g$, and for a sufficiently regular η and a polygonal convex domain, there holds $\hat{\phi} \in H_0^1(\Omega) \cap H^2(\Omega)$ with

$$\|\hat{\phi}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad (80)$$

and we may prove that (27) is replaced by

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} (u_h - \hat{u})g(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} &= \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \eta (\nabla_h u_h - \nabla \hat{u}) \cdot (\nabla \hat{\phi} - \nabla_h \phi_h)(\mathbf{x})d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} f(\phi_h - \phi_h^{\Delta,*}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} (\eta(\mathbf{x}) Id - A_{\sigma,\sigma^*}) \nabla_h u_h \cdot \nabla_h \phi_h d\mathbf{x} \\ &\quad - \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \eta \nabla \hat{u} \cdot \mathbf{n} \phi_h(\mathbf{x}) d\ell \\ &\quad - \sum_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \int_{\partial \mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} (u_h - \hat{u}) \eta \nabla \hat{\phi} \cdot \mathbf{n}(\mathbf{x}) d\ell. \end{aligned} \quad (81)$$

All but the third term of the above formula are similar to Eq. (27) and may be treated with small modifications that we detail now.

First, we choose the discrete ϕ (and its associated reconstruction ϕ_h) as the solution of both finite volume schemes associated to the solution of the Laplace equation with right-hand side $\tilde{g} := -\Delta \hat{\phi}$. It holds that \tilde{g} belongs to $L^2(\Omega)$ since $\hat{\phi}$ is in $H^2(\Omega)$ and

$$\|\tilde{g}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \leq \|\hat{\phi}\|_{H^2(\Omega)} \leq C\|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \quad (82)$$

thanks to (80). We get that

$$|\hat{\phi} - \phi_h|_{1,h} \leq Ch\|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}. \quad (83)$$

Equations (79) and (83) and the fact that η is bounded imply that the first term in (81) is bounded by $Ch^2\|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}\|f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. As far as the second term is concerned, we may apply Lemmas 4.12, 4.13, 4.14, 4.15 and 4.16 in which we sometimes have to replace g by \tilde{g} ; but in view of (82), this causes no additional difficulty and we finally get that the second term in (81) is controlled by $Ch^2\|g\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$. As far as the fourth and fifth terms in (81) are concerned, we may treat them like in Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6, replacing $\nabla \hat{\phi}$ by $\eta \nabla \hat{\phi}$ and $\nabla \hat{u}$ by $\eta \nabla \hat{u}$. Now, the constants appearing in those lemmas will depend on the $W^{1,\infty}$ norm of η .

On the other hand, the third term in (81) will behave like $\mathcal{O}(h)$, unless we choose

$$\eta_{\sigma} = \eta_{\sigma^*} = \eta_{\sigma,\sigma^*} := \frac{1}{|\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}|} \int_{\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}} \eta(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}.$$

Indeed, in that case,

$$A_{\sigma,\sigma^*} = \eta_{\sigma,\sigma^*} Id$$

and since $\nabla_h u_h$ and $\nabla_h \phi_h$ are constants over the cell $\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,\sigma^*}$, the third term in (81) actually vanishes.

As a conclusion, the method used to prove the second-order convergence result for the Laplace equation may extend to the more general case of a smoothly varying coefficient η only if the corresponding finite volume scheme is properly defined.

6. CONCLUSION

In a two-dimensional convex polygonal domain, we have proved convergence in the L^2 norm with second-order accuracy of a well chosen function constructed with the help of the solutions of two finite volume schemes for the Laplace equation, one defined on a (primal) triangular mesh and the other defined on the Voronoi (dual) mesh associated to the vertices of the primal mesh, under the sufficient condition that the right-hand side of the Laplace equation is in $H^1(\Omega)$. Extensions to more general diffusion equations must be handled with care.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Aavatsmark, T. Barkve, Ø. Bøe and T. Mannseth, Discretization on unstructured grids for inhomogeneous, anisotropic media. I. Derivation of the methods. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **19** (1998) 1700–1716.
- [2] I. Aavatsmark, T. Barkve, Ø. Bøe and T. Mannseth, Discretization on unstructured grids for inhomogeneous, anisotropic media. II. Discussion and numerical results. *SIAM J. Sci. Comput.* **19** (1998) 1717–1736.
- [3] B. Andreianov, F. Boyer and F. Hubert, Discrete duality finite volume schemes for Leray-Lions-type elliptic problems on general 2D meshes. *Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equations* **23** (2007) 145–195.
- [4] L. Angermann, Numerical solution of second-order elliptic equations on plane domains. *RAIRO Modél. Math. Anal. Numér.* **25** (1991) 169–191.
- [5] R.E. Bank and D.J. Rose, Some error estimates for the box method. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **24** (1987) 777–787.
- [6] E. Bertolazzi and G. Manzini, On vertex reconstructions for cell-centered finite volume approximations of 2D anisotropic diffusion problems. *Math. Models Methods Appl. Sci.* **17** (2007) 1–32.
- [7] S. Boivin, F. Cayré, J.-M. Hérard, A Finite Volume method to solve the Navier Stokes equations for incompressible flows on unstructured meshes. *Int. J. of Thermal Sciences* **39** (2000) 806–825.
- [8] F. Boyer F. and F. Hubert, Finite volume method for 2D linear and nonlinear elliptic problems with discontinuities. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **46** (2008) 3032–3070.
- [9] J. Breil and P.-H. Maire, A cell-centered diffusion scheme on two-dimensional unstructured meshes. *J. Comput. Phys.* **224** (2007) 785–823.
- [10] Z. Cai, On the finite volume element method. *Numer. Math.* **58** (1991) 713–735.
- [11] Z. Cai, J. Mandel and S. McCormick, The finite volume element method for diffusion equations on general triangulations. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **28** (1991) 392–402.
- [12] C. Carstensen, R. Lazarov and S. Tomov, Explicit and averaging *a posteriori* error estimates for adaptive finite volume methods. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **42** (2005) 2496–2521.
- [13] C. Chainais-Hillairet, Discrete duality finite volume schemes for two-dimensional drift-diffusion and energy-transport models. *Internat. J. Numer. Methods Fluids* **59** (2009) 239–257.
- [14] S.H. Chou, D.Y. Kwak and Q. Li, L^p error estimates and superconvergence for covolume or finite volume element methods. *Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations* **19** (2003) 463–486.
- [15] Y. Coudière, C. Pierre, O. Rousseau and R. Turpault, A 2D/3D Discrete Duality Finite Volume Scheme. Application to ECG simulation. *International Journal On Finite Volumes* **6** (1) (2009), electronic only.
- [16] Y. Coudière, J.-P. Vila and P. Villedieu, Convergence rate of a finite volume scheme for a two dimensional convection-diffusion problem. *M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* **33** (1999) 493–516.
- [17] M. Crouzeix and P.-A. Raviart, Conforming and nonconforming finite element methods for solving the stationary Stokes equations. I. *Rev. Française Automat. Informat. Recherche Opérationnelle Sér. Rouge* **7** (1973) 33–75.
- [18] S. Delcourte, K. Domelevo and P. Omnes, A discrete duality finite volume approach to Hodge decomposition and div-curl problems on almost arbitrary two-dimensional meshes. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **45** (2007) 1142–1174.
- [19] K. Domelevo and P. Omnes, A finite volume method for the Laplace equation on almost arbitrary two-dimensional grids. *M2AN Math. Model. Numer. Anal.* **39** (2005) 1203–1249.
- [20] R. Ewing, R. Lazarov and Y. Lin, Finite volume element approximations of nonlocal reactive flows in porous media. *Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equations* **16** (2000) 285–311.
- [21] R.E. Ewing, T. Lin and Y. Lin, On the accuracy of the finite volume element method based on piecewise linear polynomials. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **39** (2002) 1865–1888.
- [22] R. Eymard, T. Gallouët and R. Herbin, *Handbook of numerical analysis* Vol. 7, P.G. Ciarlet and J.-L. Lions, Eds., North-Holland/Elsevier, Amsterdam (2000) 713–1020.
- [23] P.A. Forsyth and P.H. Sammon, Quadratic convergence for cell-centered grids. *Appl. Numer. Math.* **4** (1988) 377–394.
- [24] W Hackbusch, On first and second order box schemes. *Computing* **41** (1989) 277–296.
- [25] R. Herbin, An error estimate for a finite volume scheme for a diffusion-convection problem on a triangular mesh. *Numer. Methods Partial Differ. Equations* **11** (1995) 165–173.
- [26] F. Hermeline, A finite volume method for the approximation of diffusion operators on distorted meshes. *J. Comput. Phys.* **160** (2000) 481–499.

- [27] F. Hermeline, Approximation of diffusion operators with discontinuous tensor coefficients on distorted meshes. *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.* **192** (2003) 1939–1959.
- [28] J. Huang and S. Xi, On the finite volume element method for general self-adjoint elliptic problems. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **35** (1998) 1762–1774.
- [29] R.D. Lazarov, I.D. Mishev and P.S. Vassilevski, Finite volume methods for convection-diffusion problems. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **33** (1996) 31–55.
- [30] C. Le Potier, Finite volume scheme for highly anisotropic diffusion operators on unstructured meshes. *C. R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris* **340** (2005) 921–926.
- [31] C. Le Potier, Finite volume monotone scheme for highly anisotropic diffusion operators on unstructured triangular meshes. *C. R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris* **341** (2005) 787–792.
- [32] C. Le Potier, A nonlinear finite volume scheme satisfying maximum and minimum principles for diffusion operators. *International Journal On Finite Volumes* **6** (2) (2009), electronic only.
- [33] I.D. Mishev, Finite volume methods on Voronoi meshes. *Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations* **14** (1998) 193–212.
- [34] A. Njifenjou and A. J. Kinack, Convergence analysis of an MPFA method for flow problems in anisotropic heterogeneous porous media. *International Journal On Finite Volumes* **5** (1) (2008), electronic only.
- [35] P. Omnes, Error estimates for a finite volume method for the Laplace equation in dimension one through discrete Green functions. *International Journal On Finite Volumes* **6** (1) (2009), electronic only.
- [36] E. Süli, Convergence of finite volume schemes for Poisson’s equation on nonuniform meshes. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **28** (1991) 1419–1430.
- [37] R. Vanselow and H.P. Scheffler, Convergence analysis of a finite volume method via a new nonconforming finite element method. *Numer. Methods Partial Differential Equations* **14** (1998) 213–231.
- [38] A. Weiser and M.F. Wheeler, On convergence of block centered finite differences for elliptic problems. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* **25** (1988) 351–375.